

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Reduced Rate Long Distance	)	IC No. 02-S71959
	)	
Complaint Regarding	)	
Unauthorized Change of	)	
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier	)	

**ORDER**

**Adopted: April 28, 2003**

**Released: April 30, 2003**

By the Acting Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau:

1. In this Order, we consider the complaint filed by Complainant<sup>1</sup> alleging that Reduced Rate Long Distance (Reduced Rate) changed Complainant's telecommunications service provider without obtaining authorization and verification from Complainant in violation of the Commission's rules.<sup>2</sup> We conclude that Reduced Rate's actions did result in an unauthorized change in Complainant's telecommunications service provider and we grant Complainant's complaint.

2. In December 1998, the Commission released the *Section 258 Order* in which it adopted rules to implement Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (Act), as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act).<sup>3</sup> Section 258 prohibits the practice of

<sup>1</sup> Informal Complaint No. IC 02-S71959, March 29, 2002.

<sup>2</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

<sup>3</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 258(a); Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); *Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 94-129, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (*Section 258 Order*), *stayed in part*, *MCI WorldCom v. FCC*, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); First Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (2000); *stay lifted*, *MCI WorldCom v. FCC*, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15996 (2000), Errata, DA No. 00-2163 (rel. Sept. 25, 2000), Erratum, DA No. 00-2192 (rel. Oct. 4, 2000), Order, FCC 01-67 (rel. Feb. 22, 2001); *reconsideration pending*. Prior to the adoption of Section 258, the Commission had taken various steps to address the slamming problem. See, e.g., *Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 94-129, Report and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 9560 (1995), *stayed in part*, 11 FCC Rcd 856 (1995); *Policies and Rules Concerning Changing Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 91-64, 7 FCC Rcd 1038 (1992), *reconsideration denied*, 8 FCC Rcd 3215 (1993); Investigation of Access and Divestiture Related Tariffs, CC Docket No. 83-1145, Phase I, 101 F.C.C.2d 911, 101 F.C.C.2d 935, *reconsideration denied*, 102 F.C.C.2d 503 (1985).

“slamming,” the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber’s selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service.<sup>4</sup> In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission adopted aggressive new rules designed to take the profit out of slamming, broadened the scope of the slamming rules to encompass all carriers, and modified its existing requirements for the authorization and verification of preferred carrier changes. The rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur.<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to Section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer’s preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with one of the Commission’s verification procedures.<sup>6</sup> Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber’s written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of Section 64.1130 authorization; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber’s order.<sup>7</sup>

3. The Commission also has adopted liability rules. These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. In that context, if the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change.<sup>8</sup> Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission’s rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150% of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50% of all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier.<sup>9</sup> Carriers should note that our actions in this order do not preclude the Commission from taking additional action, if warranted, pursuant to Section 503 of the Act.<sup>10</sup>

4. We received Complainant’s complaint on March 29, 2002 alleging that Complainant’s local and long distance service had been changed from Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (Southwestern Bell) and AT&T Corporation (AT&T) to Reduced Rate without Complainant’s authorization. Pursuant to Sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules,<sup>11</sup> we

---

<sup>4</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

<sup>5</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

<sup>6</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

<sup>7</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1130.

<sup>8</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.*

<sup>9</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

<sup>10</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 503.

<sup>11</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

notified Reduced Rate of the complaint and Reduced Rate responded on May 23, 2002.<sup>12</sup> Reduced Rate states that the Complainant authorized the change in services. Reduced Rate's submitted a third party verification tape as evidence of an authorized change in Complainant's service providers. Reduced Rate's sales agent, however, did not drop off the verification call once the three way connection was established.<sup>13</sup> We find that Reduced Rate has failed to produce clear and convincing evidence that Complainant authorized a carrier change.<sup>14</sup> Therefore, we find that Reduced Rate's actions resulted in an unauthorized change in Complainant's local and long distance service providers and we discuss Reduced Rate's liability below.<sup>15</sup>

5. Pursuant to Section 64.1170(b) our rules, Reduced Rate must forward to Southwestern Bell and AT&T an amount equal to 150% of all charges paid by the subscriber to Reduced Rate.<sup>16</sup> Therefore, Reduced Rate must forward to Southwestern Bell and AT&T 150% of the amount, along with copies of any telephone bills issued from the company to the Complainant.<sup>17</sup> Within ten days of receipt of this amount, Southwestern Bell and AT&T shall provide a refund or credit to Complainant in the amount of 50% of all charges paid by Complainant to Reduced Rate. Complainant has the option of asking Southwestern Bell and AT&T to re-rate Reduced Rate's charges based on Southwestern Bell and AT&T's rates and, on behalf of Complainant, seek from Reduced Rate, any re-rated amount exceeding 50% of all charges paid by Complainant to Reduced Rate. Southwestern Bell and AT&T must also send a notice to the Commission, referencing this Order, stating that it has given a refund or credit to Complainant.<sup>18</sup> If Southwestern Bell and AT&T have not received the reimbursement required from Reduced Rate within 45 days of the release of this Order, Southwestern Bell and AT&T

---

<sup>12</sup> Reduced Rate's Response to Informal Complaint No. IC 02-S71959, May 23, 2002.

<sup>13</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c).

<sup>14</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150(d).

<sup>15</sup> If Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of this complaint, Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to Section 1.721 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to Complainant. See 47 C.F.R. § 1.719.

<sup>16</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(b).

<sup>17</sup> *Id.*

<sup>18</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(c).

must notify the Commission and Complainant accordingly. Southwestern Bell and AT&T also must notify the Complainant of his or her right to pursue a claim against Reduced Rate for a refund of all charges paid to Reduced Rate.<sup>19</sup>

6. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and Sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaint filed by Complainant against Reduced Rate IS GRANTED.

7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 64.1170(b) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(b), that Reduced Rate must forward to Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (Southwestern Bell) and AT&T Corporation (AT&) an amount equal to 150% of all charges paid by the subscriber along with copies of any telephone bills issued from the company to the Complainant within ten (10) days of the release of this order.

8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Nancy A. Stevenson, Acting Deputy Chief  
Policy Division  
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

---

<sup>19</sup>

*See* 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(e).